



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,905	03/31/2004	Ibrahim M. Mohamed	H0005395	2904
128	7590	09/29/2009		EXAMINER
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. PATENT SERVICES 101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245				FINDLEY, CHRISTOPHER G
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
				MAIL DATE
				DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/708,905	MOHAMED ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY	2621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 June 2009.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,3-16,18-23,25-27 and 29 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1,3-16,18-23,25-27 and 29 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks pages 10-13, filed 6/18/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 2, 14-17, 23, 24, and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 9-11, 13-16, 18, 19, 21, 23, 25, 26, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. (US 7027513 B2).**

Re **claim 1**, Zhang discloses a method of identifying a plurality of key video frames in a sequence of image frames, each of said sequence of image frames containing a plurality of pixels, each of said plurality of pixels corresponding to a corresponding point of an area based on which said sequence of image frames are generated, said method comprising: using a processor to determine a rate of change of visual content of each current frame from a corresponding reference frame, each of said current frame and said reference frame being comprised in said sequence of image

frames (Zhang: column 9, lines 10-24), wherein said rate of change represents a difference of a first value and a second value, said first value representing a change of visual content of a current frame compared to a first frame, said second value representing a change of visual content of said first frame compared to a second frame, wherein said second frame is a reference frame for said first frame and said first frame is a reference frame for said current frame (Zhang: column 9, lines 25-49); and using the processor to select said current frame as a corresponding one of a set of potential video frames if said rate exceeds a corresponding first threshold value, wherein said plurality of key video frames are selected from said set of potential video frames (Zhang: column 9, lines 50-60 and column 10, line 64-column 11, line 18), wherein said determining and said selecting are repeated for each of said sequence of image frames as said current frame to form said set of potential video frames (Zhang: column 9, lines 25-27, vectors extracted from each frame); wherein said determining comprises: determining a displacement magnitude of each moved pixel of said current frame compared to the position in said first frame and of said first frame compared to the position in said second frame (Zhang: column 9, lines 27-30); and computing a first representative magnitude of said displacement magnitude for said moved pixels of said current frame compared to said first frame, and a second representative magnitude of said displacement magnitude for said moved pixels of said first frame compared to said second frame (Zhang: column 9, lines 25-30, vectors extracted from each frame), wherein said first value and said second value respectively equal said first representative magnitude and said second representative magnitude such that said rate

is computed as a difference of said first representative magnitude and said second representative magnitude (Zhang: column 9, lines 25-38, cumulative calculation).

Zhang discloses that motion vectors are extracted from each frame (Zhang: column 9, lines 25-27), but Zhang does not explicitly disclose that each of the respective first frame and the respective second frame are different for different ones of the current frame in said sequence of image frames. However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious that as the current frame changes sequentially, the reference frame for calculating motion vectors changes also, because if the reference frame were not to change the motion data would progressively degenerate in accuracy and relevance. Therefore, by stating that each frame is analyzed, Zhang indicates changing the reference frame along with the current frame and maintaining a relative positional relationship, as is well known in the art.

Re **claim 3**, Zhang discloses that said first representative magnitude for said current frame equals an average of motion energy vector magnitudes of said moved pixels of said current frame in comparison with corresponding pixels of said first frame (Zhang: column 9, lines 25-38, the average magnitude of the motion vectors for a particular frame is calculated; column 9, lines 39-49, and the average magnitude is used to calculate the perceived motion energy).

Re **claim 4**, Zhang discloses that said first threshold value is the same for all of said current frames, said selecting further comprises: including said current frame in said set of potential video frames only if said first representative magnitude exceeds a second threshold (Zhang: column 10, lines 28-33, the triangles must be larger than a

minimum size); and including only those of said set of potential video frames, which exceed said first threshold, in said plurality of key video frames (Zhang: column 10, lines 37-48, triangles not meeting size constraints are resized).

Re **claim 6**, Zhang discloses identifying a plurality of active pixels in said current frame, wherein a pixel is considered an active pixel if a corresponding displacement magnitude is outside of a range, wherein only said plurality of active pixels are used by said computing (Zhang: column 9, lines 33-38, dominant motion direction percentage calculation).

Re **claim 9**, Zhang discloses enabling a user to specify one of a plurality of key video frames, wherein said plurality of key video frames are selected by said selecting (Zhang: Fig. 1, element 120; column 5, lines 43- 44, indicate that the user may use the key frames to select the desired section of the video for display); and displaying said specified one of said plurality of key video frames (Zhang: Fig. 1, element 120; column 5,-lines 43-44, indicate that the user may use the key frames to select the desired section of the video for display).

Re **claim 10**, Zhang discloses displaying a prior key video frame and a next key video frame in relation to said specified one of said plurality of key video frames, wherein said prior key video frame and said next key video frame are comprised in said plurality of key video frames (Zhang: Fig. 1, element 120, key frames are displayed in a sequence).

Re **claim 11**, Zhang discloses generating a display indicating the manner in which said plurality of key video frames are interspersed in said sequence of image

frames, wherein said enabling is based on said display (Zhang: Fig. 12, the key frames are shown along with their corresponding frame numbers).

**Re claim 13**, Zhang discloses generating a display listing said plurality of key video frames, wherein said enabling is based on said display (Zhang: Fig. 1, element 120; column 5, lines 43-44).

**Re claim 14**, Zhang discloses that motion vectors are extracted from each frame (Zhang: column 9, lines 25-27), but Zhang does not explicitly disclose that the corresponding first frame and the corresponding second frame are selected at a same respective relative position in comparison to the position of the current frame such that each of the respective first frame and the respective second frame are different for different current frame. However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious that as the current frame changes sequentially, the reference frame for calculating motion vectors changes also, because if the reference frame were not to change the motion data would progressively degenerate in accuracy and relevance. Therefore, by stating that each frame is analyzed, Zhang indicates changing the reference frame along with the current frame and maintaining a relative positional relationship, as is well known in the art.

**Re claim 15**, Zhang discloses that said current frame, said first frame and said second frame are in consecutive successive positions in said sequence of frames (Zhang: Figs. 9A, 9B, 10A, and 10B, PME graphs go in order of frame number, indicating that calculations are performed sequentially).

Re **claim 16**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1 are applicable to claim 16, and, therefore, claim 16 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 1 above.

**Claim 18** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 3 above.

**Claim 19** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 4 above.

**Claim 21** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 6 above.

Re **claim 23**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1 are applicable to claim 23, and, therefore, claim 23 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 1 above.

**Claim 25** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 3 above.

**Claim 26** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 4 above.

Re **claim 29**, Zhang discloses a method of identifying a plurality of key video frames in a sequence of image frames, each of said sequence of image frames containing a plurality of pixels, each of said plurality of pixels corresponding to a corresponding point of an area based on which said sequence of image frames are generated, said method comprising: using a processor to receive receiving said sequence of frames of a same scene/area of interest according to a sequential order (Zhang: column 9, lines 18-24); using the processor to choose choosing one of said sequence of image frames as a current frame, a first frame being before said current frame and a second frame being before said first frame according to said sequential order, said first frame being at a first relative position in relation to said first frame in said sequential order and said second frame being at a second relative position in relation to

said first frame in said sequential order (Zhang: column 9, lines 25-38, motion vectors extracted from each frame of the sequence); using the processor to calculate calculating a displacement magnitude difference of a first value and a second value, said first value representing a measure of a displacement magnitude change of visual content of said current frame compared to said first frame, and said second value representing a measure of a displacement magnitude change of visual content of said first frame compared to a second frame (Zhang: column 9, lines 25-38, motion vectors extracted from each frame of the sequence); using the processor to select selecting said current frame as a corresponding one of said plurality of key video frames if said difference exceeds a first threshold value and first value exceeds a second threshold value (Zhang: column 9, lines 50-60 and column 10, line 64-column 11, line 18); and using the processor to repeat repeating said calculating and said selecting after choosing each of said sequence of image frames as said current frame to form said plurality of key video frames (Zhang: column 9, lines 25-27, vectors extracted from each frame).

Zhang discloses that motion vectors are extracted from each frame (Zhang: column 9, lines 25-27), but Zhang does not explicitly disclose that each of the respective first frame and the respective second frame are different for different ones of the current frames, and are respectively determined based on the same first relative position and said second relative position in reference to the corresponding current frame. However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious that as the current frame changes sequentially, the reference frame for calculating

motion vectors changes also, because if the reference frame were not to change the motion data would progressively degenerate in accuracy and relevance. Therefore, by stating that each frame is analyzed, Zhang indicates changing the reference frame along with the current frame and maintaining a relative positional relationship, as is well known in the art.

**4. Claims 5, 7, 8, 20, 22, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. (US 7027513 B2) in view of Ma et al. (US 20040088723 A1).**

Re **claim 5**, Zhang discloses a majority of the features of claim 5, as discussed above in claims 1-4, but does not specifically disclose that the first threshold and the second threshold are adjusted dynamically to ensure that a desired number of frames are selected as key video frames in a specified duration. However, Ma discloses a method for generating a video summary, where a binarization threshold is estimated in an adaptive manner (Ma: paragraph [0081]) when analyzing a video sequence for selecting key frames. Since Zhang and Ma relate to selecting key frames in a video sequence, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to combine the attention modeling of Ma with the key frame selection method of Zhang in order to create a representative video summary consisting of very short video clips, which contain the video immediately preceding and immediately following key frames that have been selected (Ma: Fig. 20).

Re **claim 7**, the combined method of Zhang and Ma discloses that said range set by a distance of two times the variance from the mean of a distribution (Ma: paragraphs [0081]-[0082], the threshold is a function of the variance).

Re **claim 8**, the combined method of Zhang and Ma discloses that said representative magnitude comprises an average of said active pixels (Ma: equation (12) and paragraph [0084]).

**Claim 20** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 5 above.

**Claim 22** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 7 above.

**Claim 27** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 5 above.

**5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. (US 7027513 B2) in view of Sull et al. (US 20060064716 A1).**

Re **claim 12**, Zhang discloses a majority of the features of claim 12, as discussed, but does not specifically disclose that the display comprises a pie chart. However, Sull discloses techniques for navigating multiple video streams, where textual/visual information, such as a pie chart, may be displayed along with poster-thumbnails on the video selection screen for the user interface (Sull: paragraph [0307]). Since Zhang and Sull all relate to representative images for video sequences, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to combine the textual information of Sull with the key frame selection of Zhang in order to provide the user with more information, such as date and time of broadcast (Sull:

paragraph [0307]), for improving the user's ability to quickly find the desired video segment (Zhang: column 5, lines 44-45).

***Conclusion***

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- a. Feature based hierarchical video segmentation; Bozdagi et al. (US 6493042 B1)
- b. Method of selecting key-frames from a video sequence; Wilf et al. (US 7184100 B1)
- c. System for automatic video segmentation and key frame extraction for video sequences having both sharp and gradual transitions; Zhang et al. (US 5635982 A)

***Contact***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY whose telephone number is (571)270-1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30 AM-5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Christopher Findley/